SL/HL Internal Assessment Mark Scheme

/25
/

Criterion A: Fieldwork question and geographic context

This criterion assesses the focus and geographic context of the fieldwork and to what extent the link between the fieldwork question and the geographic context (that is, material in the syllabus, a relevant syllabus topic or geographical theory) is made clear. The fieldwork question should be specifically geographical.

Marks	Level descriptor	Reasoning
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.	
1	 The fieldwork question is not formulated as a question or is not appropriately linked to the relevant syllabus topic or geographical theory. The fieldwork question does not allow for the collection of primary data, does not include a location or is too broad to address within the limits of the internal assessment. No locational map is included or the map is inappropriate for the fieldwork question. 	
2	 The fieldwork question is geographical, identifying an appropriate link to the relevant syllabus topic, the syllabus or geographical theory. The fieldwork question identifies a specific location allowing for the collection of primary data and a question that can be addressed within the limits of an internal assessment. The locational map is a copy of an existing map (for example, internet or satellite map) with too many unnecessary details or lacking mapping conventions. 	
3	 The link between the fieldwork question and the relevant syllabus topic, the syllabus or geographical theory is described. The link made to geographical theory allows for the possible formulation of hypotheses and predictions. The fieldwork question is geographical and focused, clearly identifying a precise location allowing for primary data collection within the limits of the internal assessment. One or more locational maps are presented and follow mapping conventions, providing clear information and details of the fieldwork location. 	

Criterion B: Method(s) of investigation

This criterion assesses the description, justification and appropriateness of the method or methods including sampling and surveying techniques, primary or secondary data collection as appropriate used to investigate the question formulated.

techniques, primary or secondary data collection as appropriate used to investigate the question formulated.					
Marks	Level descriptor	Reasoning			
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.				
1	 The method(s) used for information and data collection are listed or outlined, but are too general or vague or do not allow for the collection of enough information and data that are relevant to address the question formulated or the hypotheses. Data collection technologies/instruments and sampling/surveying techniques are listed or outlined but are not correctly used. 				
2	 The method(s) used for information and data collection are described, outlining how the data collected is relevant to the question formulated and hypotheses. The method(s), data collection instruments/technologies and sampling/surveying techniques are used correctly and allow for sufficient data for quantitative and/or qualitative analysis, but it may be minimal or only one or two variables are collected. 				
3	 The method(s) used for information and data collection are described, explaining clearly and accurately how the combination of data collected is relevant to the theory, question formulated or the hypotheses for the internal assessment. They may describe statistical tests if appropriate. The method(s), data collection instruments/technologies and sampling/surveying techniques are used correctly, resulting in reliable and good quality primary data supporting a relevant quantitative and/or qualitative analysis. 				

Criterion C: Quality and treatment of information collected

This criterion assesses the quality of information and data collected and its suitability for analysis in criterion D, whether it is appropriate and a sufficient range of techniques have been used for the presentation of information, and whether the presentation follows accepted conventions (that is, the numbering, labelling, and annotating of tables, graphs and diagrams).

Marks	Level descriptor	Reasoning
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.	
1	 The information and data collected is mostly not relevant, or not sufficient, to address the question or hypotheses formulated. The information and data have mostly been presented in such a way that is either not appropriate for what has been collected or does not allow for 	
2	 analysis of the question formulated. The graphs, tables, diagrams or other illustrations do not follow conventions (labelling, titles, and so on) or contain frequent errors. 	
3	 Most of the information and data collected is relevant to the question formulated or the hypotheses, allowing for partial analysis or answering of the question formulated. 	
4	 The information and data have been presented in ways appropriate for the data type. The graphs, tables, diagrams or other illustrations follow conventions (labelling, titles, and so on), with occasional errors. 	
5	 The information and data collected is all directly relevant to the question formulated or the hypotheses, and is sufficient in quantity and quality to allow for analysis or answering of the question formulated. 	
6	 The most appropriate techniques have been used effectively for the presentation of information and data collected. The graphs, tables, diagrams or other illustrations follow conventions (labelling, titles, and so on). 	

Criterion D: Written analysis

This criterion assesses the quality of the analysis of the results, referring to:

- links to the question and hypotheses formulated
- geographic context (that is, geographical theory, the syllabus, or the relevant syllabus topic)
- information collected
- statistics used (descriptive techniques—that is, graphs, charts, histograms and so on; as well as statistical techniques—that is, correlations, regression and so on)
- illustrative material.

Marks	Level descriptor	Reasoning
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.	
1 2	 The written analysis includes descriptive techniques that are not all appropriate to the data and the question formulated. The data or information presented is outlined without explicit link to the question or hypotheses formulated. Obvious trends and patterns are listed. 	
3 4	 The written analysis includes descriptive techniques that are appropriate to the data and the question formulated. Any statistical techniques used either are not relevant to the question formulated or contain errors. The data and information, trends and patterns presented are described and linked explicitly to the question or hypotheses formulated. The written analysis allows for answering the question formulated in a descriptive way. 	
5	 The written analysis includes descriptive and statistical techniques (if appropriate to the question formulated) that are appropriate to the data and the question formulated. The data and information, trends, patterns and statistics are described and linked explicitly to the question or hypotheses formulated. Outliers and anomalies in the data, if present, are listed. The written analysis allows for answering the question formulated, although there are gaps in the supporting evidence. 	
7 8	 The written analysis includes descriptive and statistical techniques (with confidence levels if appropriate) that are appropriate to the data and the question formulated. The trends, patterns and statistics found, including outliers and anomalies if present, are explained and linked to the question formulated, hypotheses, geographical theory, the fieldwork location and methods used. The written analysis allows for answering the question formulated, with no or only minor gaps in the supporting evidence. 	

Criterion E: Conclusion This criterion assesses the ability of the student to summarize the findings of the fieldwork investigation.			
Marks	Level descriptor	Reasoning	
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.		
1	A conclusion to the fieldwork question is formulated, which is partially supported by the analysis.		
2	There is a clear conclusion to the fieldwork question, which is supported by the analysis.		

	Criterion F: Evaluation This criterion assesses the student's ability to review the investigative methodology.			
Marks	Level descriptor	Reasoning		
0	The work does not reach the standard described by the descriptors below.			
1	Strengths and/or weaknesses of the data collection methods and suggestions for improvement are listed , but these are mostly superficial, not appropriate, or not relevant to the study.			
2	Strengths and/or weaknesses of the data collection methods and suggestions for improvement are outlined , and these are mostly appropriate and relevant to the study.			
3	The most appropriate and relevant strengths and/or weaknesses are explained regarding the data collection methods, the formulation of the fieldwork research question, the presentation of data/information and the choice of location. Suggestions for improvement are outlined and the potential impact of these improvements is explained .			

Criterion	Α	В	С	D	E	F	TOTAL
Marks Available	3	3	6	8	2	3	25
Marks Awarded							